FILED Amy Hunley CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 01/11/2019 4:48PM BY: BACOSTA DEPUTY

LERNER & ROWE, P.C. Case No.: S0200CV201900013 Chad Schatz, Esq. SBN 027212 HON. DAVID THORN 2701 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 140

Phoenix, AZ 85016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS.

Telephone: (602) 977-1900 Fax: (602) 977-1901

cschatz@lernerandrowe.com

minute entries@lernerandrowe.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

IN	THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

LINDA KIMBLE, individually. No. CV2019-Plaintiff.

SMITH'S FOOD & DRUG CENTERS, INC.

d/b/a FRY'S FOOD STORES, a domestic corporation, JOHN DOES I-X and JANE DOES I-X, individually and/or as husband and wife;

BLACK CORPORATIONS I-X and WHITE

LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS I-X,

Defendants.

(Tort/Non Motor Vehicle -Premises Liability; Negligence)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through undersigned counsel, for her Complaint hereby allege as follows:

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The events that form the basis for this complaint occurred within Cochise County, Arizona and venue in this court is proper pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401.
- 2. The damages sought in this matter are within the original jurisdiction of this court.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff resides in Sierra Vista, Cochise County, Arizona. 3.
- Defendant Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc. d/b/a Fry's Food Stores is a 4. domestic corporation licensed to do business in Arizona.

- 5. Defendants John Does I-X and Jane Does I-X are individuals and/or married couples whose identities are presently unknown to Plaintiff, and so are sued under an alias. The true names of Defendants John and Jane Does will be substituted when the true names are learned. Defendants John and Jane Does, if married, at all times relevant hereto acted for and on behalf of their marital community.
- Defendants Black Corporations I-X and White Limited Partnerships I-X are corporations, limited partnerships, limited liability concerns, or other business entities, either chartered within Arizona or authorized to do business in the State of Arizona, but whose identities are presently unknown to Plaintiff, and so are sued under an alias. The true names of Defendants Black and White Corporations will be substituted when learned.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 6 of her Complaint as though expressly set forth herein.
- 8. Defendants Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc own, operate, and maintain Fry's store located at 4351 E. Highway 90, Sierra Vista, Arizona (hereinafter referred to as "the store").
- 9. On or about January 14, 2017, Plaintiff was a public invitee of the store.
- 10. While walking into the store, Plaintiff tripped on an uneven curb surface directly outside the entrance.
- Defendants caused Plaintiff to suffer harm in an amount qualifying this matter as a Tier 1 case.

COUNT I – PREMISES LIABILITY

- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 11 of her Complaint as though expressly set forth herein.
- 13. Defendants have a non-delegable duty to exercise reasonable care for the safety of public invitees within the store.
- 14. Defendants were in the position to ensure that safety procedures for the protection of public invitees visiting the store were initiated and then followed by Defendants.
- 15. Defendants owed a duty of eare to public invitees to inspect, maintain and make the store safe.
- 16. Defendants had a duty to know, or in the exercise of due care to know, of an unreasonably dangerous condition on the property, to wit, the hazardous curb outside the front door of the store.
- 17. Defendants had a duty of care to public invitees, including Plaintiff, to use reasonable care to warn about, or remedy, the unreasonably dangerous condition, to wit, the hazardous curb outside the front door of the store.
- Defendants were negligent for not inspecting the eurb of the store for hazards, and Defendants were negligent for not removing the hazards, to wit, the hazardous eurb outside the front door of the store.
- 19. Defendants were negligent for failing to adequately warn Plaintiff and other public invitees of the dangerous condition at the store, to wit, the hazardous eurb outside the front door of the store.

- 20. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff and other public invitees and as a result, Plaintiff was injured when she tripped on the hazardous curb outside the front door of the store.
- 21. As a result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff was subjected to pain and suffering, inconvenience, curtailment of her usual activities, loss of enjoyment of life, great pain of body and mind, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment, and pain and suffering in the future.
- As a result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has incurred expenses for medical treatment and expenses for related treatment and care as a result of injuries sustained from this incident, and Plaintiff will continue to incur such expenses in the future.

COUNT II – GROSS NEGLIGENCE

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference Paragraphs 1 through22 of her Complaint as though expressly set forth herein.
- 24. On or about January 14, 2017, Plaintiff was a public invitee of the store.
- 25. While walking into the store, Plaintiff tripped on an uneven eurb surface directly outside the front door, fell and was injured.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges Defendants were responsible for ensuring that the entrance was safe and non-hazardous to public invitees.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges Defendants were responsible for ensuring that the proper warning signs and/or safety procedures were in place in order to warn public invitees of any potential hazard at the entrance of the store,